Root Canal Morphology of Mandibular Incisors with Double Root Canals in a Chinese Population
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Objective: To investigate the bilateral symmetry of double root canals, variation in root canal bifurcation and position of canal orifices in mandibular incisors in a Chinese population.

Methods: A total of 149 subjects with mandibular incisors with two canals were selected from 866 patients based on CBCT images and divided into three groups: group 1 (< 21 years), group 2 (21–40 years) and group 3 (> 40 years). The prevalence of bilateral symmetry of double root canals (type III and type V), the distance between the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) and the bifurcation (D1) and the distance between the two canal orifices (D2) were calculated and analysed.

Results: The bilateral symmetry of type III for mandibular central incisors (MCIs) (44.4%) was significantly lower than that for mandibular lateral incisors (MLIs) (63.4%). D1 was greater in group 1 (4.63 ± 1.35 mm) than in group 2 (3.99 ± 1.02 mm) and group 3 (3.90 ± 1.95 mm). D2 was shorter in MCIs (0.65 ± 0.20 mm) than in MLIs (0.74 ± 0.22 mm).

Conclusion: Special attention is required in the root canal treatment of mandibular incisors, especially in patients aged above 21 years.
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radiography, CBCT is very powerful for excluding the overlaps caused by other tissues and thus allows better observation of the 3D structure of the tooth. Previous studies have demonstrated anatomical symmetry in the number of roots and root canal systems between bilateral homonym teeth\textsuperscript{32,33}. However, these studies focused primarily on premolars and molars, and limited information is available on the root canal system in the mandibular anterior teeth.

Previous research has indicated that missing the second root canal is the main reason for the failure of root canal treatment of mandibular incisors\textsuperscript{18}. Observation of the root canal morphology of mandibular incisors with two canals, including the locations of root canal bifurcation and canal orifices, may reduce the risks involved in clinical treatment and improve the success rate of root canal therapy for mandibular incisors. Therefore, this study aims to observe the root canal morphology and investigate the bilateral symmetry of double root canals, the distance between the root canal bifurcation and the CEJ, and the distance between the two canal orifices in mandibular incisors in a Chinese population using CBCT.

Materials and methods

CBCT images of patients who presented at our imaging centre at Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology from October 2012 to July 2013 were retrospectively collected and evaluated. A total of 866 patients were enrolled, fulfilling the following criteria:

- the mandibular incisors in the images were completely developed;
- the mandibular incisors were without restorations, cervical caries lesions, root caries lesions, root resorption, apical periodontitis, periodontal disease, horizontal/vertical loss, root canal treatment or traumatic injuries.

The CBCT images were acquired as part of a subsidiary examination undertaken before treatments such as orthodontic procedures, placement of dental implants and treatment of dentomaxillofacial traumas. The study was approved by our institutional review board (PKUSSIRB-201520035).

All images were obtained using a NewTom VG CBCT (QR, Verona, Italy) at 110 kV with slice spacing and thickness both set at 0.15 mm, and the voxel size was 0.15 mm. Two endodontists recorded and measured the images separately using the NNT Viewer software. When the two endodontists were unable to achieve conformity, a second evaluation was performed.
after 2 weeks, and a third experienced endodontist was involved to achieve a final consensus.

Among the 866 patients, 149 patients who had at least one mandibular incisor in which two root canals could be detected and in whom homonym teeth could be observed were selected. According to Vertucci’s classification, the configurations of double root canals are assigned to all types except type I and VIII. As type III and type V made up almost all the canal system types in the mandibular incisors in this study, the prevalence of bilateral symmetry of type III and type V in mandibular central or lateral incisors was calculated as \( P = n / N \), where \( n \) was the number of patients with the same root canal configuration in the homonym teeth and \( N \) was the number of patients with double root canals in mandibular incisors on at least one side.

The 149 patients were then divided into three age groups: group 1, < 21 years (n = 30); group 2, 21–40 years (n = 83); and group 3, > 40 years (n = 36). For each incisor with two canals, the long axis was set under free angulation of 3D slices on multiplanar reconstruction images, and the largest sagittal slice parallel to the long axis was selected. The perpendicular distance between the CEJ and the bifurcation (D1) (Fig 1a) was then measured. Further, the shortest distance between the canal orifices (D2) was recorded on the first axial image where two orifices could be clearly distinguished (Fig 1b). D1 and D2 were recorded in groups 1, 2 and 3. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). A chi-square test was used to compare the difference in prevalence of bilateral symmetry between mandibular central and lateral incisors. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to compare the differences between the quantitative data. Differences were considered statistically significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

### Results

#### Bilateral symmetry of double root canals

Of the 149 patients with incisors with two canals on at least one side, 56 patients had both central and lateral incisors with two canals on at least one side simultaneously, 7 patients had central incisors with two canals, and 86 patients had lateral incisors with two canals.

Among the 63 patients with central incisors with two canals on at least one side, 28 had teeth with type III root canal configuration on both sides and 5 had teeth with type V root canal configuration. Among the 142 patients with lateral incisors with two canals on at least one side, 90 had teeth with type III root canal configuration on both sides and 9 had teeth with type V root canal configuration (Table 1). Consequently, the bilateral symmetry of type III was 44.4% (28/63) for mandibular central incisors, which was significantly lower than that for mandibular lateral incisors (63.4%, 90/142) \( (P = 0.01) \). The bilateral symmetry of type V for mandibular central incisors (7.9%, 5/63) was similar to that for mandibular lateral incisors (6.3%, 9/142) \( (P = 0.68) \).

#### Distance between the root canal bifurcation and the CEJ (D1)

The values of the perpendicular distance between the root canal bifurcation and the CEJ in the mandibular incisors (D1) are shown in Table 2. The value of D1 in all mandibular incisors was \( 4.08 \pm 1.38 \) mm for all ages. Furthermore, the values of D1 in group 1 were significantly higher than those in group 2 \( (P = 0.00) \) and group 3 \( (P = 0.00) \), while no significant difference was detected between group 2 and group 3 \( (P = 0.93) \).

### Table 1 Number of patients with the same root canal configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Type III</th>
<th>Type V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisors</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisors</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N, number of patients with double root canals in mandibular central or lateral incisors on at least one side.

### Table 2 Mean ± standard deviation of D1 (mm) in the different groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.52 ± 1.05</td>
<td>4.11 ± 1.17</td>
<td>3.87 ± 1.08</td>
<td>4.16 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.69 ± 1.49</td>
<td>3.94 ± 0.95</td>
<td>3.91 ± 2.12</td>
<td>4.06 ± 1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.63 ± 1.35(^a)</td>
<td>3.99 ± 1.02(^b)</td>
<td>3.90 ± 1.95(^b)</td>
<td>4.08 ± 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D1, distance between the root canal bifurcation and the CEJ; N, number of patients with mandibular incisors with two canals on at least one side; \(^a,b\) different letters indicate a significant difference between the groups \( P < 0.05 \)
**Distance between the two canal orifices (D2)**

The values of the distance between the two canal orifices in the mandibular incisors (D2) are shown in Table 3. The value of D2 was 0.65 ± 0.20 mm for mandibular central incisors, which was shorter than that for mandibular lateral incisors (0.74 ± 0.22 mm) \( (P = 0.00) \).

**Discussion**

Mandibular anterior teeth exhibit far greater variation in root canal morphology than maxillary anterior teeth\(^{34}\). Mandibular lateral incisors have a much higher incidence of double root canals than mandibular central incisors\(^{24}\). In the majority of mandibular anterior teeth with two canals, the bifurcation is located in the coronal and middle third of the root\(^{7}\); our previous study revealed similar findings\(^{35}\). To the best of the authors’ knowledge, limited information is available on the bilateral symmetry of double canals in incisors. Lin et al\(^{24}\) observed 1,412 mandibular incisors in CBCT and found that 92.7% of the central incisors and 89.2% of the lateral incisors had the same canal configurations. The study emphasised analysis of the canal configurations, without sufficient data on the bilateral symmetry of double canals. Kayaoglu et al\(^{25}\) observed 6,253 teeth in a Turkish population using CBCT and found that the bilateral symmetry of double canals in mandibular central and lateral incisors was 45.0% and 29.0% respectively. In their study, all root canal configurations with the exception of type I and type VIII were considered as two canals and were not classified further, which was not extremely symmetrical in the root canal system. Our results showed that the bilateral symmetry of type III in mandibular lateral incisors was more prevalent than that in central incisors, and no significant difference was found in the prevalence of bilateral symmetry of type V between mandibular central and lateral incisors. Furthermore, over half of the patients with mandibular incisors with double canals on at least one side had the same root canal configuration in their homonym teeth. Dental practitioners should therefore check the canal number of mandibular incisors carefully if there are two canals in the contralateral homonym teeth, and the root canal system of the contralateral homonym teeth can also provide significant guidance.

It is extremely difficult to identify and locate a tiny lingual root canal in mandibular incisors, but this is paramount for long-term successful outcomes. In this study, we explored the perpendicular distance between the bifurcation and the CEJ (D1), and between the two canal orifices (D2). A previous study reported that the distance between the bifurcation and the anatomic apex ranged from 6 mm to 12 mm in most mandibular incisors with double canals\(^{7}\). This finding will have clinical significance if the bifurcation can be identified by a visible anatomic landmark. The lowest position of the CEJ is usually located on the lingual or labial side and can be easily accessed and detected by a periodontal probe. Therefore, we chose this point as the landmark in the present study. We found that the mean distance between the root canal bifurcation and the CEJ was 4.08 ± 1.38 mm in the mandibular incisors. This suggests that clinicians should examine the region below the CEJ cautiously during mandibular incisor root canal therapy. Furthermore, the distance between the bifurcation and the CEJ in patients aged under 21 years was greater than the average distance for subjects aged over 21 years. Secondary dentine continuously accumulates on the inside of the root canal wall, and accumulation of dentine on the furcation area that occurs with ageing will particularly increase the height of the bifurcation position\(^{36}\), which may be reflected in our CBCT results.

In the present study, we found that the distance between the two canal orifices in mandibular incisors ranged from 0.5 mm to 1.0 mm, suggesting that the lingual wall of the access cavity should be sufficiently removed. In accordance with previous studies\(^ {13,22}\), extension of the coronal cavities in the incisogingival direction is also recommended in the present study. Overall, the prepared access cavity must provide a clear and unobstructed pathway to each orifice and must be designed in such a way as to avoid interference with the often difficult intracanal procedures to follow\(^ {37}\).

---

**Table 3**  Mean ± standard deviation of D2 (mm) in the different groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.20(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.22(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D2, distance between the two canal orifices; N, number of patients with mandibular incisors with two canals on at least one side; \(^{a,b}\), different letters indicate a significant difference between the teeth \( (P < 0.05) \).
Clinically, use of a magnification tool such as an operating microscope is an effective way to avoid missing a root canal.\textsuperscript{38}

Tooth dimensions can vary between men and women.\textsuperscript{39,40} The present study did not take this influence factor into consideration. The effect of ethnic background was also not taken into consideration. Therefore, further studies considering the tooth dimensions and ethnic are necessary.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of the present study, in a Chinese population, the prevalence of bilateral symmetry of type III is higher in mandibular lateral incisors than in mandibular central incisors. The root canal bifurcation is further from the CEJ in patients aged < 21 years than in older patients, with an average of 4.08 ± 1.38 mm. The distance between the two canal orifices is shorter in mandibular central incisors than in mandibular lateral incisors.
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