Survival of Titanium-Zirconium and Titanium Dental Implants in Cigarette-smokers and Never-smokers: A 5-Year Follow-up
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Objective: To compare the peri-implant clinical and radiographic status around bone-level narrow-diameter titanium-zirconium (TiZr) implants and titanium (Ti) implants placed in cigarette-smokers (CS) and never-smokers (NS).

Methods: Partially edentulous CS and NS rehabilitated with TiZr and Ti implants were included. Demographic data and information regarding smoking habits were collected. Participants were divided into four groups: group-1, CS with TiZr implants; group-2, NS with TiZr implants; group-3, CS with Ti implants; and group-4, NS with Ti implants. 36, 30, 31 and 33 implants were placed in 24, 23, 24 and 25 male individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Peri-implant plaque index (PI), bleeding on probing (BOP), probing depth (PD) and mesial and distal crestal bone loss (CBL) were measured. All patients were enrolled in biannual routine oral prophylaxis care at least until the fifth year of follow-up and oral hygiene instructions were reinforced at each recall appointment. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: At the 3- and 5-year follow-ups, there was no statistically significant difference in the peri-implant PI, BOP, PD and CBL between individuals in all groups. In all groups, the implant success and survival rates were 100% and 100%, respectively, at the 5-year follow-up.

Conclusion: The TiZr and Ti dental implants can remain clinically and radiographically stable in CS in a manner similar to NS. Routine oral hygiene maintenance plays an essential role in this regard.
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The stability of the peri-implant crestal bone levels plays an essential role in the long-term success and survival of dental implants1-3. To minimise the risk of peri-implant crestal bone loss (CBL), modifications in the implant geometry and surface characteristics have been proposed4-9. Titanium (Ti) is a biocompatible alloy10; however, studies11,12 have reported that the incorporation of zirconium in pure titanium alloy (in the proportion of 85% titanium and 15% zirconium) further improves the tensile and fatigue strength of the implant. Results from an experimental study in mini pigs showed that values for removal torque were significantly higher for the titanium-zirconium (TiZr) implants than for the Ti implants12. In that study12, the histologic results showed a significantly greater bone area within the chamber for the TiZr implants compared with the Ti implants. From a clinical perspective, 1-year follow-up results of a double-blind randomised controlled trial (RCT)13 showed that narrow-diameter bone-level TiZr dental implants exhibit the same outcomes (in terms of stability of crestal bone and success and survival rate) as conventional Ti bone-level implants. Similarly, a 36-month follow-up RCT by Quirynen et al14 showed
no statistically significant difference in the soft tissue parameters and levels of crestal bone between the two groups. In that study, the survival rate of TiZr and Ti alloy implants were 98.7% and 97.3%, respectively, at the 36-month follow-up. This suggests that TiZr and Ti demonstrate similar bone–tissue responses.

Habitual cigarette-smoking is a risk factor for periodontal and peri-implant soft tissue inflammation and loss of supporting alveolar bone around natural teeth and dental implants. One explanation in this regard is that habitual smoking increases the formation and accumulation of advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) in the periodontal tissues. Interactions between AGEs and their receptors (RAGE) induce the formation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-1 and proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6, by human gingival fibroblasts. Furthermore, nornicotine (a metabolite of nicotine) upregulates the expression of RAGE in the gingival tissues of smokers and stimulates the formation of reactive oxygen species, which jeopardise the periodontal tissues. Besides compromising periodontal wound healing, these factors may also affect the healing of peri-implant tissues. To our knowledge, there are no studies in indexed literature that have compared the peri-implant soft tissue inflammatory parameters (plaque index [PI], bleeding on probing [BOP] and probing depth [PD]) and the CBL around narrow-diameter bone-level TiZr and Ti dental implants in cigarette-smokers (CS) and never-smokers (NS).

It is hypothesised that the peri-implant soft tissue inflammatory parameters (PI, BOP and PD) are worse and the CBL is higher around narrow-diameter bone-level TiZr and Ti dental implants placed in CS compared with NS. The aim of the present 5-year follow-up retrospective study was to compare the peri-implant clinical and radiographic status around bone-level narrow-diameter TiZr dental implants and Ti implants placed in CS.

Materials and methods

The ethical approval was obtained from the ethics research committee of the Centre for Specialist Dental Practice and Clinical Research, Saudi Arabia. The present study was designed, conducted and reported following the Consolidation Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement, and also following the guidelines recognised by the Declaration of Helsinki as revised in 2013 for experimentation involving human patients. A consent form was presented to all volunteering individuals. It was mandatory for all volunteering individuals to have read and signed the consent form before being included in the study. All participants were informed that they could withdraw their participation at any stage of the investigation with no consequences.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were:
- CS with TiZr implants;
- CS with Ti implants;
- NS with TiZr implants;
- NS with Ti implants.

The exclusion criteria were:
- Use of bone grafts or guided bone regeneration techniques during implant therapy;
- Individuals smoking multiple forms of smoking tobacco including cigarettes;
- Smokeless tobacco users;
- Alcohol users;
- Self-reported systemic diseases such as acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or human immunodeficiency virus infection, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, hepatic disorders and/or renal disease.

Participants and grouping

Partially edentulous individuals rehabilitated with TiZr and Ti dental implants were included. Individuals who reported to have been smoking at least one cigarette daily for at least 12 months prior were defined as CS; whereas individuals who reported to have never used any form of tobacco product were defined as NS. These individuals were recruited from the outpatient department of a local healthcare centre in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Clinical and radiographic examinations were performed at the Specialist Dental Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Participants were divided into four groups as follows: 24, 23, 24 and 25 male individuals were included in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

Questionnaire

Information regarding age, gender, duration and daily frequency of smoking, and family history of smoking was collected using a questionnaire. Information regarding daily tooth brushing and flossing was also gathered. The questionnaire was administered to all participants by a trained investigator.
Surgical procedure, implant-surface characteristics and prosthetic/loading protocols

All surgical and implant loading procedures were performed under local anaesthesia by a trained and experienced oral surgeon. Preoperatively, all patients received 1 g of amoxicillin as prophylactic antibiotic, starting the night before the surgery, and then 500 mg amoxicillin three times per day for a total of 7 days postoperatively. Patients with allergies were given clindamycin. In addition, pain medication (600 mg ibuprofen) was prescribed every 6 to 8 hours, as required. In all groups, participants were also advised to rinse with 0.2% chlorhexidine digluconate twice daily for 2 weeks starting on the first preoperative day. All TiZr implants (diameter 3.3 mm) (Roxolid, Institut Straumann, Basel, Switzerland) and Ti implants (diameter 4.1 mm) (Straumann Dental Implant System, Institut Straumann) were placed at bone level in the areas of missing maxillary and/or mandibular premolars and were left submerged for 3 to 4 months. All implants had moderately rough sandblasted and acid-etched (SLActive) surfaces. In summary, a midline crestal incision was made using a no. 15 surgical blade and mucoperiosteal flaps. The implant osteotomy sites were prepared according to a standard drilling sequence as described elsewhere. To minimise the risk of injury to the inferior alveolar nerve or the maxillary sinus, adjustable rubber stop devices were placed around the drills to locate them at least 1 mm shorter than the radiographic working length, above the mandibular canal or the maxillary sinus. All implants were placed in the centre of the healed alveolar ridge using an insertion torque of 30 to 35 Ncm. All implants were restored using cement-retained porcelain fused to metal fixed dental prostheses, which were delivered 8 to 10 weeks after the healing abutment connection.

Postoperative dental prophylaxis

All patients were enrolled in biannual routine oral prophylaxis care at least until the fifth year of follow-up, during which they underwent full-mouth mechanical plaque and calculus debridement using handheld ultrasonic scalers (VV DENTA, Guangxi, China). Oral hygiene instructions were reinforced at each recall appointment for individuals in both groups.

Assessment of peri-implant clinical parameters and crestal bone loss

Two trained and calibrated examiners blinded to the participants group assessed the peri-implant soft-tissue inflammatory parameters and the CBL. The overall kappa values for inter- and intra-examiner reliability were 0.86 and 0.88, respectively. The peri-implant PI, BOP and PD were measured at six sites per implant (mesiobuccal, midbuccal, distobuccal, distolingual/palatal, midlingual/palatal and mesiolingual/palatal). The PD was measured to the nearest mm using a graded probe (Hu-Friedy Manufacturing, Chicago, IL, USA). Digital bitewing radiographs (Ektaspeed plus; Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA) were taken and viewed on a calibrated computer screen (Samsung SyncMaster digital TV monitor, Suwon City, Gyeonggi-do, Korea). The CBL was measured as the vertical distance from 2 mm below the implant–abutment interface to the most crestal part of the alveolar bone. In each group, the mean mesial and distal peri-implant CBL was measured to the nearest mm using the software program Scion Image (Scion, Fredrick, MD, USA). The peri-implant mucositis was defined by the presence of soft tissue inflammation around the implant without any radiographic signs of CBL; and peri-implantitis was defined by the presence of soft tissue inflammation and CBL around the implant.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the software program SPSS (Version 18, Chicago, IL, USA). The peri-implant clinical (PI, BOP and PD) and radiographic (CBL) parameters were evaluated statistically for the CS and NS groups using the multiple comparisons test. Data including means and standard errors were compared. For multiple comparisons, the Bonferroni post-hoc test was performed. To determine the sample size in each group, a post hoc power analysis was performed using the software nQuery Advisor 6.0 (Statistical Solutions, Saugas, MA, USA), and to detect a 0.05 difference between the efficacy variables (PD and MBL) among CS and NS. It was estimated that inclusion of at least 23 individuals per group would yield a study power of 85%. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
The mean age of individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 were 40.4 ± 5.1, 45.6 ± 3.3, 44.5 ± 3.1 and 43.7 ± 4.2 years, respectively. In groups 1 and 3, participants had a cigarette smoking history of 8.9 ± 2.6 and 10.3 ± 1.4 years, respectively. A family history of tobacco smoking was reported by 18, 4, 16 and 5 individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Brushing teeth once every day was reported by 19, 20, 17 and 21 individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. None of the individuals in the groups reported to have ever used dental floss.

Clinical and radiographic parameters at the 3- and 5-year follow-ups

A total of 36, 30, 31 and 33 implants were placed in individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. At the 3- and 5-year follow-ups, there was no statistically significant difference in the peri-implant PI, BOP, PD and mesial and distal CBL between individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 (Table 1). There was no clinical evidence of peri-implant mucositis at the 3- and 5-year follow-ups. None of the radiographs showed evidence of peri-implantitis at the 3- and 5-year follow-ups. In all groups, the implant success and survival rates were 100% and 100%, respectively, at the 5-year follow-up. There was no statistically significant difference in PI, BOP, PD and mesial and distal CBL around implants placed in the maxilla and mandible among individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4, at the 3-year follow-up (Figs 1 and 2) and at the 5-year follow-up (Figs 3 and 4).

Discussion

It was hypothesised that the peri-implant soft tissue inflammatory parameters (PI, BOP and PD) are worse and the CBL is higher around narrow-diameter boney-level TiZr and Ti dental implants placed in CS compared with NS. This hypothesis was based on results from previous clinical studies, which showed significantly higher oral soft tissue inflammation and alveolar bone loss (ABL) around natural teeth and dental implants among CS compared with NS. In addition, previous in vitro studies have also reported that tobacco smoking is associated with increased interactions between AGEs and RAGE, which increase the production of destructive inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β) and IL-6 in body fluids. Raised levels of these destructive inflammatory cytokines in
Fig 1  Peri-implant plaque index (PI) (black bars) and bleeding on probing (BOP) (grey bars) in the maxilla and mandible among individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the 3-year follow-up. Data are presented as means ± 2 standard deviations.

Fig 2  Peri-implant probing depth (PD) (black bars) and crestal bone loss (CBL) (grey bars) in the maxilla and mandible among individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the 3-year follow-up. Data are presented as means ± 2 standard deviations.

Fig 3  Peri-implant plaque index (PI) (black bars) and bleeding on probing (BOP) (grey bars) in the maxilla and mandible among individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the 5-year follow-up. Data are presented as means ± 2 standard deviations.

Fig 4  Peri-implant probing depth (PD) (black bars) and crestal bone loss (CBL) (grey bars) in the maxilla and mandible among individuals in groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the 5-year follow-up. Data are presented as means ± 2 standard deviations.
the oral fluids have been associated with the aetiology of periodontal and peri-implant diseases\textsuperscript{28-30}. An interesting finding of the present study was that there was no statistically significant difference in the peri-implant clinical (PI, BOP, PD) and radiographic (CBL) parameters around TiZr and Ti implants placed in CS and NS. It is important to interpret these results with caution as a number of factors may have influenced the reported results. Firstly, it is noteworthy that individuals in all the study groups were relatively young (approximately 40 years old). It is known that advancing age is a risk factor for ABL around natural teeth\textsuperscript{15}. In the study by Javed et al\textsuperscript{15}, the ABL around the teeth was significantly higher among individuals $\geq 60$ years old compared with younger individuals ($\leq 45$ years old). It is therefore hypothesised that the CBL around TiZr and Ti dental implants is significantly higher among older individuals ($\geq 60$ years old) compared with relatively younger individuals ($\leq 45$ years old). Moreover, smokers with TiZr (group-1) and Ti (group-3) implants had a smoking history of approximately 9 and 10 years. It is likely that individuals with a longer history of smoking (for example 15 years or longer) exhibit worse peri-implant soft tissue inflammation and increased CBL compared with individuals with a shorter history of tobacco smoking, regardless of the type of implants (TiZr or conventional Ti) used. Further studies are needed to test these hypotheses.

It is noteworthy that in the present study, participants in all groups received bi-annual mechanical plaque and calculus debridement. Non-surgical periodontal therapy has been reported to play a role in minimising oral soft tissue inflammation\textsuperscript{31-33}. It is speculated that the biannual visits to oral healthcare providers may have encouraged the participants in all groups to routinely maintain their oral hygiene status. It is therefore likely that regular oral hygiene maintenance contributed towards maintaining healthy peri-implant soft tissue and minimising the CBL around TiZr and Ti implants among CS and NS. The present authors applaud the results of a previous study that reports that as long as the oral hygiene status is satisfactory, dental implants can exhibit a 100\% survival rate\textsuperscript{34}. In our study, at least 70\% of individuals in each group reported to brush their teeth once daily; and it has been reported that tooth brushing once daily is sufficient to maintain oral health and to prevent periodontal inflammation\textsuperscript{35}. However, Attin and Hornecker\textsuperscript{35} emphasise that the general public should be educated that tooth brushing twice daily is the recommended domestic dental hygiene maintenance protocol; and oral healthcare providers (dentists and dental hygienists) should invest every possible effort to convince their patients to brush their teeth twice a day.

Bone density and quality varies in the posterior maxilla and mandible mostly due to the presence of maxillary sinuses\textsuperscript{36}. In a 6-year follow-up clinical study\textsuperscript{36}, the CBL was significantly higher around implants placed in the posterior maxilla compared with the mandible. However, in the present study, no statistically significant difference in terms of clinical peri-implant parameters and CBL in the maxillae and mandibles among individuals in all groups was observed. Since all participants from our study received oral prophylaxis bi-annually, it is hypothesised that this factor may have contributed towards the maintenance of the peri-implant soft and hard tissue status. In the present study, a family history of smoking was more often reported by individuals in groups 1 and 3 (CS) compared with individuals in groups 2 and 4 (NS). Indeed, smoking is a classic risk factor for soft tissue inflammation and alveolar bone loss around both natural teeth and dental implants\textsuperscript{15,37}. Although in our study there was no statistically significant difference in the CBL between CS and NS, it is imperative to elucidate to the public (particularly those who have family members who smoke) the detrimental effects of smoking on health, including dental and peri-implant tissue health.

A limitation of the present study was that individuals with systemic diseases were not included. It is well known that poorly controlled diabetes mellitus is a significant risk factor for peri-implant diseases (peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis)\textsuperscript{38}. In addition, smokeless tobacco users were not included in the study. It is therefore likely that the CBL around short implants is significantly higher among CS with poorly controlled diabetes and CS chewing smokeless tobacco products compared with systemically healthy CS and CS not using other forms of tobacco products, respectively. Furthermore, all participants in our investigation were male. There is a possibility that irrespective of smoking, hormonal changes in females (particularly in the postmenopausal phase) may affect the oral soft and hard tissue status around short implants compared with males. Further studies are needed to clarify these questions.

**Conclusion**

TiZr and Ti dental implants can remain clinically and radiographically stable in CS in a manner similar to NS. Routine oral hygiene maintenance plays an essential role in this regard.
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